IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE.

WRIT PETITION NO. 9895 OF 2004

Ahmedkhan V. Pathan ...Petitioner
versus
The State of Maharashtra ..... Respondent.

Office Notes, Office Memorada) Orders or directions and) Registrar's orders.)	Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri V.A.Shashtry for the petitioner.
Shri C.R.Sonawane AGP for Respdts

CORAM; V.G. PALSHIKAR &
D.B. BHOSALE, JJ.

DTAED; 17TH AUGUST, 2005.

P.C.

1. This petition can be conveniently disposed of in view of the affidavits filed by the State. In the first affidavit filed by Avinash Hajare, Dy. Secretary to the Government on 24-1-2005, it is categorically stated that:

"After the expiry of Model Code of conduct proposal regarding appointment of member of Bar Council was prepared in which recommendation of Bar Council

about the petitioner was added

and it is under submission."

2. Thereafter it is stated in the affidavit that information regarding elected members of the Bar Council who are Muslims was sought for, which was received. The second affidavit has been filed by the same person wherein it is categorically stated as under:

"The petitioner is entitled to be appointed as the member of Maharashtra State Board of Wakfs as per the provisions of Section 14 (1)(b)(iii) of Wakf Act."

3. In view of this situation, this petition can be disposed of directing the State of Maharashtra to do the needful for their admitted position quoted above. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

....